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Abstract

Context: Over the past decade the number of accidents and disasters has been growing around the world. In addition to damaging communities and infrastructures, unexpected disasters also affect service providers. This study aimed to evaluate the readiness of hospitals when confronted with unexpected disasters.

Evidence Acquisition: The present study was a simple review article, which was conducted via searching different sites, such as: Web of Science, Scopus, Science Direct and PubMed, using different key words such as: Disasters, Crisis, Hospital and preparedness. The relationship between the articles found in relation to our subject was investigated through the title and abstract of articles. The relationship between the articles, which were found in relation to our subject, was investigated through the title and abstract of the articles. Our search included papers published during the period between 2007 and 2015 and we only considered studies that measured the preparedness of hospitals in critical conditions. Among the 30 articles, which were found, 17 were excluded from the study due to lack of relevant data. Hence, 15 papers, which were of proper design and robust data analysis, were included in the current study.

Results: Hospital preparedness in disaster was evaluated in three dimensions: structural, non-structural factors and vulnerability management performance. A total of readiness of hospitals in three dimensions was mediocre.

Conclusions: Overall, the results derived from these studies indicated that hospital safety levels in most of the surveyed hospitals were moderate. Although the situation in hospitals is not critical, there is a need to plan and take appropriate measures to improve the safety level of the hospitals.
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1. Context

In the last decade, incidents of unexpected disasters have increased around the world in such a way that the rate of mortality has been about 106000 people per year (1). In almost all countries, there is a high probability of disasters such as hurricanes, flood, earthquake, fire, famine, terrorist attacks, volcanic eruptions, chemical disasters and diseases incidence. Natural disasters may initiate quickly or gradually. In any case, they will have reverse effects on the health of residents, society and the economy (2).

Generally, Iran stands among the 10 most disastrous areas in the world, where 90% of the population is potentially prone to risk of earthquakes and floods. Statistics indicate that Iran stands 6th in the world for disaster occurrence (3) so that, from different types of natural disasters occurring globally, 31 types occur in Iran and it is not impossible to have one in the near future (4).

Nowadays, about 70% of different regions in the country are prone to earthquake, and due to undesirable climate as well as misuse of natural resources, approximately 50% of the land area is exposed to floods. Some examples of catastrophic events are earthquakes in Tabriz (1727), Neyshabour (1828), Tabas (1978), Gilan and Zanjan (1990), Bam (2004), and Sistan floods (1992) (5).

Experts believe that due to lack of cooperation and needed protocols among government or private sector emergency teams in Iran, management decisions and planning are made without any kind of required information in this area (6). Since most disasters are not predictable in Iran, more preparedness in healthcare systems, especially hospitals as the first line to deliver emergency services in disasters, paves the way to a nonstop work and decreases casualties of such unexpected disasters. This also promotes hospitals’ preparedness and ability to take care of the injured and pacify those at shock (6-9).
In a catastrophe, a break in standard communication is potentially an obstacle on the way of hospital operations. Lack of equipment, personnel and life supplies might cause a decline in the availability of safer care. Regarding this issue, hospitals are obliged to remain prepared for unexpected events (10).

Hospital preparedness to manage disasters comprises an important portion of the plan to decrease casualty and damage. Indeed, the most important global concern is preparedness for unexpected catastrophes. Specifically, hospitals are part of primary plans for catastrophe management, both nationally and internationally, especially in disaster-prone areas (11).

Regarding the geographical location of Iran and the possibility of many different disasters, we conducted a study with the aim of investigating Iranian hospitals’ preparedness for unexpected events.

2. Evidence Acquisition

This was a document analysis, which investigated different texts on Iranian hospitals preparedness to manage disaster and unwanted events. With an extended research of various texts, using keywords like crisis, disasters, preparedness and hospital, the present study was initiated. Related articles were obtained, studied and incorporated from databases including Web of Science, Science Direct, Pubmed, Google Scholar, Sid, and Springer. Our objective was to select studies with a relationship to the subject of this paper, from 2007 to 2015. Studies outside this time range or unrelated studies were dismissed. Finally, 15 articles were incorporated effectively, the results of which are discussed in Table 1.

Hospital disaster preparedness has been examined from three different dimensions: structural vulnerability, nonstructural factors, and operational and management vulnerability, which were categorized in three groups based on the obtained scores: high (60 and above), average (40 - 60) and low (score below 10).

3. Results

According to all the studies, preparedness in Iranian hospitals is average; some hospitals even have low preparedness to unexpected events such as floods and earthquakes. According to the results, improving preparedness level in hospitals is a very important strategy, which needs consideration of the associated managers. Amongst the fifteen articles reviewed in this study, 12 had average hospital preparedness, and two had low and one had high level of preparedness.

4. Discussion

In total, 15 studies that met the inclusion criteria were fully evaluated. In most studies, the preparedness of hospitals in Iran was average.

Studies from overseas reported a more satisfying level of preparedness in their hospitals than Iranian hospitals. Zhong et al. conducted a study on 41 Shandong high-quality hospitals in which they incorporated factor analysis to obtain four factors in hospital resilience in disasters, including ability to respond to medical needs in emergencies, management mechanisms, security infrastructures in hospitals and disaster sources. These factors indicated enough stability inside hospitals (27).

Walsh et al. in 2015 reported that one of the ways to increase disaster preparedness in disasters is to improve healthcare system coalitions, identify opportunities to improve the system as well as cooperation among involved organizations and hospitals (28). In a study in 20 European countries, Djalali et al. aimed at assessing preparedness level for disasters in hospitals. Seventy percent of the hospitals responded to the questionnaire, from which 68% of the hospitals were in the first group (acceptable), and the highest preparedness was for England, Lithuania, and Luxembourg. The highest level of preparedness relates to information component and the lowest relates to training (29).

Unfavorable preparedness was mostly found in hospitals located in western and southern disadvantaged regions. In a study, Vahedparast et al. (2013) (21) found that hospitals in Bushehr Province had preparedness levels ranging from average to low, which can be categorized in a range lower than 50%. There were a few private hospitals in these regions. Despite notifying disaster committee plans of the hospitals, these plans have not been implemented officially. There are only a few studies regarding psychological behavioral interventions during disaster in hospitals; this indicates a high need for such studies (30).

4.1. Conclusions

An entire comparison of risk taking among different sections in studied hospitals, regarding the fact that structural security in most hospitals is at an average level, they should not be expected to have a higher and more desirable performance and nonstop services in earthquakes. Because of the importance of this issue, it is suggested to renew and empower such hospitals. It is important to note that some older hospitals are delivering favorable services. However, there is no difference in service delivery between modern and ancient hospitals. As a result, these hospitals are not motivated enough to renew their structure, at least in the short run.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researcher</th>
<th>Research Type</th>
<th>Place and Number of Studied Hospitals</th>
<th>Method for Collecting Information</th>
<th>Scopes on the Study</th>
<th>The Condition of General Preparedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asefzadeh et al., 2016 (8)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>10 Tehran hospitals</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews,</td>
<td>Functional safety, non-structural safety, and structural safety</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirzaee et al., 2015 (11)</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>4 hospitals of Isfahan</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews,</td>
<td>Functional safety, non-structural safety, and structural safety</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vali et al., 2014 (14)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>4 hospitals affiliated to Tabriz Medical Science University</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
<td>Equipment, human resources, physical space, structure and work processes, Protocols</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyedin et al., 2014 (15)</td>
<td>Descriptive</td>
<td>53 hospitals affiliated to Tehran University</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
<td>Functional safety, non-structural safety, and structural safety</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shams et al., 2014 (16)</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>4 hospitals affiliated to Tabriz Medical Science University</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews,</td>
<td>Management, leadership and organization, human resources affairs, policies and processes, staff training and improvement, facilities and equipment, the program of quality reassurance, safety affairs against firing, safety plans, technical facilities and construction services and also incident and crisis plans</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahimi et al., 2015 (17)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>6 hospitals affiliated to Tabriz University of Medical Science</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
<td>Management, leadership and organization, human resources affairs, policies and processes, staff training and improvement, facilities and equipment, the program of quality reassurance, safety affairs against firing, safety plans, technical facilities and construction services and also incident and crisis plans</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salehghahsaie et al. (2012) (18)</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>6 hospitals selected from those affiliated to Tabriz University of Medical Sciences</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews</td>
<td>Functional safety, non-structural safety, and structural safety</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amirizadeh et al., 2013 (19)</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>5 hospitals affiliated to universities in Northern Iran</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
<td>Management, leadership and organization, human resources affairs, policies and processes, staff training and improvement, facilities and equipment, the program of quality reassurance, safety affairs against firing, safety plans, technical facilities and construction services and also incident and crisis plans</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadri et al., 2013 (20)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>9 government and 6 private hospitals of Shiraz</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews</td>
<td>Emergency, admission, evacuation and transfer, traffic, communication, security, education, support, human workforce</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vahedparast et al., 2013 (21)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>9 hospitals of Tehran</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews</td>
<td>Equipment, human resources, physical space, structure and work processes, protocols</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hojat, 2012 (22)</td>
<td>Descriptive</td>
<td>2 hospitals of Jahrom</td>
<td>Checklist</td>
<td>Equipment, human resources, physical space, structure and work processes, protocols</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammad yeganeh, 2013 (23)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>7 hospitals of Isfahan</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews</td>
<td>Functional safety, non-structural safety, and structural safety</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hekmatkhah et al., 2013 (24)</td>
<td>Descriptive</td>
<td>5 training hospitals, 1 private hospital, 1 social welfare hospital and 1 military hospital in Varamin</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews,</td>
<td>Management, leadership and organization, human resources affairs, policies and processes, staff training and improvement, facilities and equipment, the program of quality reassurance, safety affairs against firing, safety plans, technical facilities and construction services and also incident and crisis plans</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amirizadeh et al., 2014 (25)</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>10 Tehran hospitals</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews,</td>
<td>Management, leadership and organization, human resources affairs, policies and processes, staff training and improvement, facilities and equipment, the program of quality reassurance, safety affairs against firing, safety plans, technical facilities and construction services and also incident and crisis plans</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ojaghi et al., 2009 (26)</td>
<td>Cross sectional-descriptive</td>
<td>6 hospitals affiliated to Kermanshah Medical Science University</td>
<td>Checklist, observations and interviews</td>
<td>Equipment, human resources, physical space, structure and work processes, protocols</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to the results, improving the level of preparedness in hospitals as an essential strategy is a priority that requires the attention of relevant authorities.

It is suggested for the hospitals preparedness against unexpected disasters to be increased by retrofitting the hospitals and providing written plans for administrative measures when a disaster occurs to reduce the related mortality rate.
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